1

## 56

7 8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

2526

JOHN FRIEDEMAN, P.C. (#3607) 5103 E. Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85018 (602) 840-0314 e-mail: john@friedeman.com Attorney for Exeter Trinity Properties

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 3:10-CV-08142 JWS
, and the second	1.0.0.10 0 . 001 12 0
Plaintiffs,	
vs.	DEDLY ON MOTION TO
JOSEPH J. LIPARI, EILEEN H. LIPARI and EXETER TRINITY PROPERTIES, L.L.C.,	REPLY ON MOTION TO JOIN/SUBSTITUTE
Defendants.	Honorable John W. Sedwick

The government has objected to the Motion to Join and Motion to Substitute filed by Exeter Trinity Properties, LLC ["Exeter"]. This is Exeter's Reply.

The government has again tried to defame the individuals involved in this matter. The Court is urged to ignore the government's irrelevant commentary.

The government argues that the Liparis have no connection to Timeless Windsor Ventures ["Windsor"]. In fact, their only connection is Windsor's ownership of the house which the Liparis transferred out of their name long ago - and this is the same connection that Exeter Trinity Properties, LLC, had with the Liparis. The government attaches some significance to this weak connection. However, the point of this entire exercise was to have the house owned by an independent entity, and not by the Liparis. Thus, the government has merely pointed out that Windsor will proceed in the same manner as Exeter. The government's comment helps support this Motion to Join/Substitute.

The government concedes that Rule 25(c). FRCP, applies to instances of legitimate transfers, during litigation, of property which is the subject of the litigation. The

government makes no argument that this transfer was not legitimate, despite being provided a copy of the deed and the sale agreement. Thus, this transfer satisfies Rule 25(c).

The government asks that discovery be reopened. The purpose of the transfer was not to prejudice the government and Exeter hereby stipulates that discovery may be reopened.

The government references the prior ruling of this Court that Exeter must be represented by counsel. However, the government's statement that Exeter is a trust is in error - Exeter is a limited liability company. This Court has not been asked to determine whether Windsor, which is a trust, must have counsel and that issue is not presently before the Court. The only issue raised by Exeter's Motion is the joinder/substitution.

Based on the response by the government, the Motions should be granted and discovery should be reopened.

Dated: June 20, 2012.

#### RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/

John Friedeman 5103 E. Thomas Road Phoenix, AZ 85018 Attorney for Exeter Trinity Properties, LLC

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this June 20, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court and served the following attorney of record using the CM/ECF system:

Charles M. Duffy
P.O. Box 683
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0683

I further certify that on the same day I mailed by U.S. Postal Service the foregoing to the following party who is not represented by counsel.

Joseph Lipari 156 Johnson Hill Drive Waynesville, NC 28786 Defendant, pro per

/s/

## John Friedeman